

Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 169472

60

ORIGIN OES-06

INFO OCT-01 NEA-10 IO-13 ISO-00 L-03 ERDA-05 PM-04 SS-15

NSC-05 FEA-01 ACDA-07 CIAE-00 INR-07 NSAE-00 EB-07

NRC-05 DODE-00 SSO-00 INRE-00 /089 R

DRAFTED BY OES:NET/RD:HDBENGELSDORF:JBDEVINE:LMT

APPROVED BY OES:NET:MBKRATZER

NEA/EGY - GORDON BEYER

L/OES - RONALD BETTAUER

ERDA - NELSON SIEVERING (SUBS)

ERDA - PETER BRUSH

PM/NPO - LOUIS NOSENZO

----- 064164

O P 082239Z JUL 76

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY CAIRO IMMEDIATE

INFO AMEMBASSY TEL AVIV PRIORITY

USMISSION IAEA VIENNA

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 169472

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS:TECH, EG

SUBJECT: U.S.-EGYPTIAN NUCLEAR POWER AGREEMENT

REF: (A) STATE 165497 (B) CAIRO 8013

(C) CAIRO 8722 (D) CAIRO 9106

1. AS NOTED IN REF A, WE ARE PREPARED TO MOVE AHEAD AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE TOWARD SUBMISSION OF THE AGREEMENT FOR COOPERATION THIS SESSION OF CONGRESS, EVEN THOUGH WE CANNOT GUARANTEE THAT THE AGREEMENT CAN BE BROUGHT INTO FORCE THIS CONGRESSIONAL PERIOD DUE TO 60-DAY REVIEW PER,OD. WE ARE DOING THIS ON THE BASIS OF OUR UNDERSTANDING THAT EGYPT AND ISRAEL WISH TO PROCEED AS PROMPTLY AS POSSIBLE AND IN CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 169472

RECOGNITION OF THE FACT THAT THE AGREEMENTS COULD BE BROUGHT INTO FORCE THIS CONGRESSIONAL SESSION IF THIS CONGRESS ELECTS TO RECONVENE RIGHT AFTER THE ELECTION. HOWEVER, IN ORDER TO MAKE THIS AT ALL FEASIBLE, IT IS ESSENTIAL THAT

WE FINALIZE THE TEXTS WITHIN THE NEXT FEW DAYS. TO THIS
END, WE ARE FORWARDING FOR IMMEDIATE COMMUNICATION TO EL
GUEBEILY OUR REACTIONS TO HIS LETTER OF JUNE 9 AS WELL AS
SOME PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE U.S.-EGYPTIAN TEXT THAT
HAVE EMERGED FROM OUR RECENT NEGOTIATIONS ON COMPANION
U.S.-ISRAELI TEXT-. WE ARE OFFERING THE LATTER ON THE
BASIS OF THE UNDERSTANDING REFLECTED IN THE JOINT

STATEMENT OF NOVEMBER 5, 1975, THAT THE TWO AGREEMENTS
AND THEIR ACCOMPANYING NOTES WILL BE SUBSTANTIVELY
IDENTICAL. AMBASSADOR OR DCM ARE REQUESTED TO PASS THESE
TO GOE ON URGENT BASIS STRESSING OUR HOPE THAT EGYPT
WILL FIND THEM ALL TO BE ACCEPTABLE SO THAT WE MAY
NOW BRING THIS LONG-STANDING NEGOTIATION TO A FINAL
AND MUTUALLY SATISFACTORY CONCLUSION. ONCE NEGOTIATIONS
ARE CONCLUDED, WE WILL INITIATE FORMAL PROCEDURES.

2. AS EMBASSY CAN APPRECIATE, THE NEED TO KEEP AGREEMENTS
IDENTICAL PRESENTS US WITH PROBLEMS, GIVEN THE TIME CON-
STRAINTS UNDER WHICH WE ARE OPERATING. IN PRESENTING RE-
VISIONS TO EGYPTIANS, THEREFORE, EMBASSY SHOULD STRESS THE
MINOR NATURE OF THE CHANGES AND UNDERSCORE THAT IT IS IN
OUR MUTUAL INTEREST TO AVOID FURTHER EDITORIAL CHANGES
THAT WOULD REQUIRE US TO HAVE ANOTHER ROUND WITH THE
ISRAELIS. IF EGYPTIANS INDICATE DISSATISFACTION WITH THIS,
EMBASSY MAY EMPHASIZE THAT THE ISRAELIS DID NOT, IN ANY
SUBSTANTIVE WAY HAVE THE LAST WORD ON THE TEXTS OF THE
AGREEMENTS, AND THAT WE REJECTED NUMEROUS EDITORIAL
CHANGES THEY PROPOSED SO AS TO MINIMIZE CHANGES IN THE
EGYPTIAN TEXT. EMBASSY MAY ALSO POINT OUT THAT THE VAST
BULK OF THE CURRENT TEXT IS THE RESULT OF THE DETAILED
NEGOTIATIONS WE HAVE CONDUCTED WITH THE EGYPTIANS. WITH
REGARD TO EMBASSY PROPOSAL IN REF D THAT WE SEND SOMEONE
TO CAIRO TO WRAP UP NEGOTIATIONS THERE, WE TRUST EMBASSY
WILL AGREE THAT MODIFICATIONS WE ARE PROPOSING ARE MINOR
IN NATURE AND SELF-EXPLANATORY. HENCE, WE WOULD HOPE
THERE WILL BE NO FURTHER NEED FOR FACE-TO-FACE NEGOTIA-
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 169472

TIONS. IF EMBASSY DISAGREES, OR IF UPON PRESENTATION OF
THE VARIOUS REVISIONS TO EL GUEBEILY, PROBLEMS ARISE, WE
WILL BE PREPARED TO RECONSIDER SENDING SOMEONE TO CAIRO.
ADVISE ASAP ON THIS POINT.

3. FOLLOWING ARE CHANGES TO BE MADE IN TEXT OF DRAFT
NOTE AND AGREEMENT CURRENTLY HELD BY EGYPTIANS. CHANGES
ARE KEYED TO TEXT TRANSMITTED BY STATE AIRGRAM 2534,
DATED MAY 18, 1976 (WITH ARTICLE IX, PAGE 14 AS PER
STATE 125351).

A. CHANGES IN PROPOSED NOTE:

ON PAGE 1, LINES 4 AND 5, CAPITALIZE THE INITIAL LETTERS

- -

OF THE PHRASE, 'CONCERNING CIVIL USES OF ATOMIC ENERGY'
AND IMMEDIATELY THEREAFTER INSERT: (HEREINAFTER CALLED
"THE AGREEMENT").

ON PAGE 1, LINE 12, DELETE THE FIGURE "1,550" AND
SUBSTITUTE "1,970."

ON PAGE 2, LINE 2, DELETE THE WORDS "COVERED BY" AND
SUBSTITUTE "SUBJECT TO".

ON PAGE 2, LINES 11 AND 17, DELETE THE WORDS "FOR
COOPERATION."

ON PAGE 2, LINE 21, INSERT THE WORDS "OF AMERICA" AFTER
"UNITED STATES."

ON PAGE 3, LINES 13 AND 18, INSERT THE WORDS "OF AMERICA"
AFTER "UNITED STATES."

ON PAGE 4, LINE 17, INSERT THE WORDS "OF AMERICA" AFTER
"UNITED STATES."

ON PAGE 5, AFTER LINE 9, INSERT THE FOLLOWING AS A NEW
SECOND PARAGRAPH: "THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES
OF AMERICA CONFIRMS THAT INFORMATION PROVIDED TO IT IN
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 169472

CONFIDENCE BY THE GOVERNMENT OF THE ARAB REPUBLIC OF
EGYPT SHALL BE APPROPRIATELY PROTECTED BY THE GOVERNMENT
OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA."

ON PAGE 5, LINES 14, 15, 18, 20, 21, 23, AND 24, INSERT
THE WORDS "OF AMERICA" AFTER "UNITED STATES."

ON PAGE 6, LINES 7, 11, AND 17, DELETE THE WORDS "FOR
COOPERATION."

B. CHANGES IN PROPOSED AGREEMENT FOR COOPERATION:

ON PAGE 2, LINE 1, INSERT THE PARAGRAPH DESIGNATOR LETTER
"A" PRIOR TO THE OPENING PHRASE "FOR THE PURPOSE OF
THIS AGREEMENT".

ON PAGE 2, AFTER LINE 22, INSERT THE FOLLOWING AS A NEW
PARAGRAPH (6): "MODERATOR MATERIAL" MEANS A MATERIAL
USED IN A REACTOR TO SLOW DOWN HIGH-VELOCITY NEUTRONS,
THUS INCREASING THE LIKELIHOOD OF FURTHER FISSION, IN-
CLUDING HEAVY WATER, GRAPHITE, AND SUCH OTHER MATERIAL AS
THE ADMINISTRATION MAY DETERMINE."

ON PAGES 2, 3, AND 4, RENUMBER SUBPARAGRAPHS 6 THROUGH 14 ACCORDINGLY.

ON PAGE 3, LINES 2 AND 3, DELETE THE PHRASE "OTHER THAN PEACEFUL USES" AND SUBSTITUTE "NUCLEAR USES PROSCRIBED IN THIS AGREEMENT:"

ON PAGE 3, LINE 19, INSERT AS A NEW INTRODUCTORY PHRASE "WITHOUT LIMITING THE GENERALITY OF THE PRECEDING SENTENCE," PRIOR TO THE WORD "NUCLEAR."

ON PAGE 3, LINE 26, DELETE THE WORDS "SIMILAR TO" AND SUBSTITUTE "ESSENTIALLY THE SAME AS."

ON PAGE 4, LINE 21, DELETE THE COMMA AFTER THE WORD "FACILITIES" AND SUBSTITUTE A PERIOD.

ON PAGE 4, LINE 22, DELETE THE WORD "AND" AND SUBSTITUTE CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 05 STATE 169472

THE WORDS "SUCH INFORMATION."

ON PAGE 4, LINE 26, DELETE THE WORDS "IN PUBLISHED FORM" AND SUBSTITUTE "TO THE PUBLIC."

ON PAGE 4, AFTER LINE 26, INSERT THE FOLLOWING AS A NEW PARAGRAPH "B":

"B. NO DETERMINATION OR DESIGNATION MADE BY THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA OR BY THE ADMINISTRATION PURSUANT TO SUBPARAGRAPHS (6), (7), (13), (14) OR (15) OF PARAGRAPH A OF THIS ARTICLE OR PURSUANT TO SUBPARAGRAPHS B(1) OR B(2) OF ARTICLE XI SHALL APPLY TO ANY SOURCE MATERIAL, SPECIAL NUCLEAR MATERIAL, MODERATOR MATERIAL, NUCLEAR FACILITIES, TECHNOLOGICAL INFORMATION OR OTHER MATERIAL (AS THE CASE MAY BE) TRANSFERRED TO THE GOVERNMENT OF THE ARAB REPUBLIC OF EGYPT OR AUTHORIZED PERSONS UNDER ITS JURISDICTION PRIOR TO THE DATE OF SUCH DETERMINATION OR DESIGNATION. THE ADMINISTRATION SHALL NOTIFY THE GOVERNMENT OF THE ARAB REPUBLIC OF EGYPT OF ANY SUCH DETERMINATION OR DESIGNATION."

ON PAGE 6, LINE 2, INSERT THE WORDS "ECONOMIC AND" AFTER THE WORD "INCLUDING."

ON PAGE 6, LINE 19, INSERT THE WORDS "AND LICENSING" AFTER THE WORD "SAFETY."

ON PAGE 12, LINE 25, DELETE THE WORDS "SUFFICIENT TO PREVENT" AND SUBSTITUTE "ADEQUATE TO PROTECT AGAINST."

ON PAGE 13, LINE 6, DELETE THE WORD "PROVIDED" AND SUBSTITUTE "TRANSFERRED."

ON PAGE 13, LINES 8 THROUGH 11, DELETE THE SENTENCE BEGINNING WITH "AFTER DELIVERY" AND ENDING WITH "AND USE OF SUCH MATERIALS." AND SUBSTITUTE THE FOLLOWING NEW SENTENCE: "ACCORDINGLY, IN IMPLEMENTING THIS AGREEMENT THE PARTIES SHALL TAKE APPROPRIATE MEASURES TO ENSURE THE SAFE HANDLING AND USE OF SUCH MATERIALS."

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 06 STATE 169472

ON PAGE 14, LINE 4, DELETE THE WORDS "ONE-THOUSAND FIVE-HUNDRED AND FIFTY (1,550)" AND SUBSTITUTE "ONE-THOUSAND AND NINE-HUNDRED AND SEVENTY (1,970)."

ON PAGE 16, LINES 1, 2 AND 3, DELETE THE PHRASE "ANY TECHNOLOGICAL INFORMATION RECEIVED PURSUANT TO THIS AGREEMENT BY THE GOVERNMENT OF THE ARAB REPUBLIC OF EGYPT OR AUTHORIZED PERSONS UNDER ITS JURISDICTION" AND SUBSTITUTE "ANY TECHNOLOGICAL INFORMATION TRANSFERRED TO THE GOVERNMENT OF THE ARAB REPUBLIC OF EGYPT OR AUTHORIZED PERSONS UNDER ITS JURISDICTION PURSUANT TO THIS AGREEMENT".

ON PAGE 16, LINE 13, DELETE THE COMMA AFTER THE WORD "EGYPT".

4. FOLLOWING ARE COMMENTS ON THE DETAILED ASPECTS OF THE TEXT. UNDER THE FIRST HEADING ARE OUR RESPONSES TO EL GUEBEILY'S JUNE 9 NOTE AND UNDER SECOND IS A DISCUSSION OF THE FOREGOING CHANGES STEMMING FROM THE NEGOTIATIONS ON THE ISRAELI TEXT.

A. COMMENTS ON THE JUNE 9 LETTER

1.) ARTICLE I(6) DEFINITION OF "NUCLEAR FACILITIES" (TO BE RENUMBERED AS ARTICLE I(7) FOLLOWING PROPOSED NEW DEFINITION OF MODERATOR MATERIAL). WE HAVE GIVEN CAREFUL CONSIDERATION TO GOE PROPOSAL THAT WE EMPLOY THE DEFINITION OF "PRINCIPAL NUCLEAR FACILITY" CONTAINED IN INFCRIC/66 /REV. 2. WHILE WE APPRECIATE THE FACTORS BEHIND THE EGYPTIAN PROPOSAL, WE ARE RELUCTANT TO ACCEPT A PROPOSAL THAT BASICALLY WOULD SERVE TO DELEGATE TO THE IAEA THE IMPORTANT U.S. RIGHT TO DESIGNATE WHICH FACILITIES ARE SUFFICIENTLY SENSITIVE TO WARRANT THE APPLICATION OF SAFEGUARDS. ONE OF OUR PROBLEMS WITH THE DEFINITION IN INFCIRC/66 IS THAT IT

OMITS HEAVY WATER PRODUCTION FACILITIES. THE TEXT HAS, HOWEVER, BEEN ALTERED TO MAKE IT CLEAR WE ARE ONLY TALKING ABOUT FACILITIES SENSITIVE FROM THE STANDPOINT

OF THEIR POTENTIAL FOR NUCLEAR USES PROSCRIBED IN THIS
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 07 STATE 169472

AGREEMENT. WITH THESE CHANGES, WE HOPE THE GOE WILL
FIND THIS DEFINITION ACCEPTABLE. FYI. THE ISRAELIS
HAVE ACCEPTED. END FYI.

2) DEFINITION OF "TECHNOLOGICAL INFORMATION"

WE APPRECIATE THAT THE EGYPTIAN PROPOSAL COMES VERBATIM
OUT OF ARTICLE I(D) OF THE FRG-BRAZILIAN-IAEA TRI-
LATERAL SAFEGUARDS AGREEMENT WHICH WAS APPROVED LAST
FEBRUARY. CONSEQUENTLY, WE HAVE REVISED THE RELEVANT
PARAGRAPH ACCORDINGLY (NOW ARTICLE I, SUBPARAGRAPH
15) TO MAKE THE DEFINITION OF "TECHNOLOGICAL INFORM-
ATION" CONFORM SOMEWHAT MORE CLOSELY WITH DEFINITION OF
"RELEVANT TECHNOLOGICAL INFORMATION" CONTAINED IN FRG-
BRAZIL-IAEA

TRILATERAL. WE SEE NO NEED OF MAKING PURELY EDITORIAL
CHANGE THROUGHOUT AGREEMENT BY INSERTING WORD "RELEVANT"
AND WOULD PREFER NOT TO DO SO.

3.) ARTICLE XI C (APPLICATION OF FALL-BACK BILATERAL
SAFEGUARDS RIGHTS TO REPLICATED FACILITIES AND THEIR
PRODUCTS). THIS WAS THE MOST SERIOUS POINT COVERED
IN THE JUNE 9, 1976 LETTER. WE APPRECIATE AND UNDER-
STAND EGYPT'S CONCERN THAT IN THE CASE OF A HYPOTHETICAL
TERMINATION OF IAEA SAFEGUARDS, IT NOT BE SUBJECTED TO
A MULTIPLICITY OF BILATERAL CONTROLS WHERE A REPLICATED
FACILITY IS BASED ON TECHNOLOGY RECEIVED FROM OTHER
COUNTRIES IN ADDITION TO THE UNITED STATES. WE ARE
PREPARED TO OFFER THE GOE OUR ASSURANCES THAT IN SUCH
AN UNLIKELY EVENT, WE WOULD BE PREPARED TO WORK CLOSELY
WITH THE OTHER APPLICABLE SUPPLIERS AS WELL AS EGYPT TO
AVOID OR MINIMIZE ANY SUCH DUPLICATION. HOWEVER, THE
TEXT THAT HAS BEEN PROPOSED BY DR. EL GUEBEILY DOES NOT
STRIKE US AS ACCEPTABLE SINCE IT WOULD TEND TO DEPRIVE
THE U.S. OF BACKUP BILATERAL RIGHTS IN SUCH AN EVENT BY
FIRST SPECIFYING THAT EGYPT WOULD HAVE TO AGREE AT THE
TIME TO THE SINGLE REGIME THAT WOULD APPLY AND PRESUMABLY
LEAVING IT TO EGYPT AND THE IAEA TO SPECIFY WHICH BILATERAL
SAFEGUARDS AGREEMENT WOULD APPLY DURING THE INTERIM
PERIOD UNTIL THIS OVERALL REGIME IS AGREED UPON. THE
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 08 STATE 169472

U.S. WOULD HAVE NO VOICE IN SPECIFYING WHAT BILATERAL
FALLBACK RIGHTS WOULD BE ACCEPTABLE AND THERE WOULD BE
NO ASSURANCE THAT RIGHTS THE SAME AS THOSE IN ARTICLE XI
WOULD BE APPLIED. THIS COULD SERVE TO VITIATE THE

VERY EFFECTIVENESS OF THE FALLBACK BILATERAL SAFEGUARDS TO BE APPLIED AT THE TIME. MOREOVER, WE DOUBT THAT THE IAEA WOULD AGREE TO ASSUME THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR DECIDING WHICH ONE OF SEVERAL HYPOTHETICAL BILATERAL SAFEGUARDS SHOULD APPLY, SINCE SEVERAL SUPPLIERS MAY BE ANXIOUS TO PRESERVE THEIR RIGHTS, ALTHOUGH WE ANTICIPATE THAT THEY WILL BE ANXIOUS TO MINIMIZE UNNECESSARY DUPLICATION. FOR THESE REASONS, WE EARNESTLY HOPE THAT THE GOE WILL NOT INSIST ON CHANGING ARTICLE XI (C). YOU MAY ORALLY ASSURE EGYPTIANS THAT IN THE EVENT THE APPLICABLE SAFEGUARDS AGREEMENT REFERRED TO IN ARTICLE XIII SHOULD BE TERMINATED FOR ANY REASON, THE UNITED STATES WILL CONSULT CLOSELY WITH EGYPT AND OTHER STATES WITH WHICH EGYPT HAS BILATERAL SAFEGUARDS ARRANGEMENTS WITH A VIEW TOWARD AVOIDING NEEDLESS DUPLICATION IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE BILATERAL SAFEGUARDS REGIMES THAT WOULD BE APPLICABLE TO THE REPLICATED FACILITIES INVOLVED.

B. CHANGES EVOLVING FROM U.S.-ISRAELI NEGOTIATIONS

IN ADDITION TO THE ABOVE, WE WISH TO PROPOSE SEVERAL CHANGES IN THE TEXT TO MAKE IT COINCIDE TO THE COMPANION U.S.-ISRAELI NUCLEAR POWER AGREEMENT WHICH HAS JUST BEEN AGREED TO AD REFERENDUM. WE BELIEVE MOST OF THESE ARE CLARIFYING CHANGES OF A MINOR CHARACTER AND THAT THEY ALL ARE IN THE INTEREST OF THE GOE TO ADOPT. NOTHING HAS BEEN DONE TO ALTER THE BASIC CHARACTER OR NATURE OF THE NOTE OR THE AGREEMENT. IN BRIEF, THE CHANGES, INCLUDING THEIR REASONS, MAY BE SUMMARIZED AS FOLLOWS:

1. A NEW PARAGRAPH HAS BEEN ADDED TO THE NOTE CONFIRMING THAT THE U.S. WILL APPROPRIATELY PROTECT ANY INFORMATION GIVEN BY EGYPT TO THE U.S. IN CONFIDENCE. THIS RECOGNIZES THAT SOME OF THE INFORMATION MADE AVAILABLE TO THE U.S. PURSUANT TO THE AGREEMENT (SUCH AS THAT PERTAINING TO PHYSICAL PROTECTION) COULD BE JUDGED AS SENSITIVE BY THE OTHER PARTY.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 09 STATE 169472

2. THE DRAFT NOTE INCLUDES A FEW OTHER MINOR CHANGES OF AN ENTIRELY EDITORIAL CHARACTER.

3. A NEW DEFINITION OF "MODERATOR MATERIAL" HAS BEEN ADDED UNDER ARTICLE I IN RECOGNITION THAT THIS TERM IS NOT OTHERWISE DEFINED IN THE AGREEMENT.

4. AS NOTED, UNDER ARTICLE I THE DEFINITION OF NUCLEAR FACILITY HAS BEEN REVISED IN WAYS THAT WE HOPE WILL MEET EGYPT'S CONCERNS.

5. THE DEFINITION OF "REPLICATED NUCLEAR FACILITIES" HAS BEEN REVISED TO CLARIFY THAT THE FIRST SENTENCE IS

AN OVERRIDING PRINCIPLE. A SIMILAR CONCEPT MAY BE FOUND IN THE FRG-BRAZILIAN-IAEA TRILATERAL. ALSO THE WORD "SIMILAR" UNDER SUBPARAGRAPH (B) HAS BEEN REVISED TO READ "ESSENTIALLY THE SAME AS", WHICH IS A PHRASE ALSO APPEARING IN THAT TRILATERAL WHICH WE FIND TO BE ACCEPTABLE.

6. THE DEFINITION OF "TECHNOLOGICAL" INFORMATION HAS BEEN REVISED AS PER ABOVE.

7. A NEW PARAGRAPH B HAS BEEN ADDED TO ARTICLE I TO MAKE CLEAR THAT THE VARIOUS DETERMINATIONS TO BE MADE BY THE U.S. UNDER THE AGREEMENT SHALL NOT BE MADE RETROACTIVE TO PRIOR TRANSFERS.

8. ARTICLE III HAS BEEN AMPLIFIED TO INCLUDE THE PHRASE "ECONOMIC AND." SIMILARLY, SUBPARAGRAPH 4 INCLUDES THE NEW PHRASE "AND LICENSING."

9. SUBPARAGRAPH G IN ARTICLE VIII HAS BEEN REVISED TO SUBSTITUTE THE PHRASE "ADEQUATE TO PROTECT AGAINST" FOR "SUFFICIENT TO PREVENT" SINCE THE LATTER MAY BE AN UNACHIEVABLE STANDARD.

10. SUBPARAGRAPH H IN ARTICLE VIII HAS BEEN REVISED SO AS TO MODERNIZE LANGUAGE.

11. SUBPARAGRAPH 4 IN ARTICLE X INCLUDES A MINOR CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 10 STATE 169472

EDITORIAL REVISION. KISSINGER

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 15 SEP 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: NUCLEAR AGREEMENTS, NUCLEAR ENERGY
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 08 JUL 1976
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: KelleyW0
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976STATE169472
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: OES:NET/RD:HDBENGELSDORF:JBDEVINE:LMT
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS
Errors: n/a
Film Number: D760264-0124
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t197607109/baaaepgs.tel
Line Count: 413
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN OES
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 8
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: KelleyW0
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 09 AUG 2004
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: WITHDRAWN <24 MAR 2004 by greeneet, REFER TO DOE>; RELEASED <09 AUG 2004 by KelleyW0>; APPROVED <09 AUG 2004 by KelleyW0>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
04 MAY 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: U.S.-EGYPTIAN NUCLEAR POWER AGREEMENT
TAGS: TECH, EG
To: CAIRO INFO TEL AVIV
IAEA VIENNA
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006